



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,485	09/17/2003	Daisuke Haba	393032040600	4364

7590 05/21/2009  
David L. Fehrman  
Morrison & Foerster LLP  
Suite 3500  
555 West 5th Street  
Los Angeles, CA 90013-1024

EXAMINER
----------

PAUL, DISLER

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2614

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

05/21/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/664,485		HABA, DAISUKE	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	DISLER PAUL		2614	

  

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) DISLER PAUL. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Gregory Weaver. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 04 May 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,3,9 and 12.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached.    g) ☐ was not reached.    h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: the applicant's representative argued that the secondary reference is not combinable with the primary reference. The representative further suggested of incorporating the dependent claims (3,9,12) into the independent claim (1) for better clarification of the applicant's invention. However, the examiner will further consider such argument/amendment, upon the receipt of the applicant's formal reply.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/Vivian Chin/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2614
--	---